

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

NOV 1 4 2001

Benjamin L. Ginsberg, Esq. William J. McGinley, Esq. Patton Boggs, LLP 2550 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037

RE: MUR 4736

Brian Babin

Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer

Dear Messrs. Ginsberg and McGinley:

On November 9, 2001, the Federal Election Commission accepted the signed conciliation agreement submitted on your clients' behalf in settlement of violations of 2 U.S.C. §§ 434, 441a(a)(8), 441a(f) and 441b(a), provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. Accordingly, the file has been closed in this matter as it pertains to Brian Babin and Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer.

This matter will become public within 30 days after it has been closed with respect to all other respondents involved. Information derived in connection with any conciliation attempt will not become public without the written consent of the respondents and the Commission. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(B). The enclosed conciliation agreement, however, will become a part of the public record.

You are advised that the confidentiality provisions of 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(12)(A) still apply with respect to all respondents still involved in this matter. The Commission will notify you when the entire file has been closed.

Benjamin L. Ginsberg, Esq. William J. McGinley, Esq. MUR 4736 Page 2

Enclosed you will find a copy of the fully executed conciliation agreement for your files, as well as a copy of a waiver letter signed on behalf of Peter Cloeren, which requests that the Babin Committee disgorge excessive contributions from Mr. Cloeren to the United States Treasury. This waiver applies to the \$5,000 excessive contribution referenced in Sections V.1 and VI.2 of the agreement. Please note that the first installment of the civil penalty and the \$5,000 disgorgement are to be made within 30 days. If you have any questions, please contact me or Mark Shonkwiler at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Thomas J. Andersen

Attorney

Enclosure
Conciliation Agreement
Waiver letter

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION			
2	In the Matter of			
4) MUR 4736			
5	Brian Babin)			
6	Brian Babin for Congress)			
7	and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer)			
8				
9	CONCILIATION AGREEMENT			
0				
1	This matter was initiated by a signed, sworn, and notarized complaint filed by			
12	Peter Cloeren and Cloeren, Inc. that was designated as MUR 4783. The allegations that are the			
13	subject of this agreement were severed from MUR 4783 and incorporated into an ongoing			
4	investigation in MUR 4736. After the investigation was conducted, the Federal Election			
15	Commission ("Commission") found probable cause to believe that Brian Babin violated 2 U.S.C			
16	§§ 441a(f) and 441b, and that Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer			
17	(collectively, "Respondents"), violated 2 U.S.C. §§ 434, 441a(a)(8), 441a(f) and 441b.			
18	NOW, THEREFORE, the Commission and Respondents, having duly entered into			
19	conciliation pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(4)(A)(i), do hereby agree as follows:			
20	I. The Commission has jurisdiction over Respondents and the subject matter of this			
21	proceeding.			
22	II. Respondents have had a reasonable opportunity to demonstrate that no action			
23	should be taken in this matter.			
24	III. Respondents enter voluntarily into this agreement with the Commission.			
25	IV. The pertinent facts in this matter are as follows:			
26	1. Dr. Brian Babin was a candidate for U.S. Representative in Texas' Second			

District during the 1996 Primary, Run-Off and General Elections.

1	2. Brian Babin for Congress is the principal campaign committee of
2	Brian Babin, and a political committee within the meaning of 2 U.S.C. § 431(4).
3	3. Thomas E. Freeman is the treasurer of Brian Babin for Congress.
4	4. Carolyn Malenick d/b/a Triad Management Services existed as a sole
5	proprietorship operated by Carolyn Malenick from approximately January 1995 through
6	September 1996. Triad Management Services, Inc., incorporated on May 28, 1996, was
7	established and controlled by Carolyn Malenick, who served as its president and sole director.
8	As used in this conciliation agreement, "Triad" may refer to either the sole proprietorship or the
9	corporation.
10	5. Citizens United Political Victory Fund ("CUPVF") is a multicandidate
11	political committee registered with the Commission pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 433.
12	6. Citizens for Reform ("CR") is a Virginia corporation. During 1996, all of
13	CR's activities were managed by Triad.
14	7. The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), define
15	a "contribution" as "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of
16	value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office."
17	2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A)(i). An "expenditure" is defined as "any purchase, payment, distribution,
18	loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose
19	of influencing any election for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. § 431(9)(A)(i). The Commission has
20	defined "anything of value" to include all in-kind contributions, i.e., "the provision of any goods
21	or services without charge or at a charge which is less than the usual and normal charge for such

goods and services" 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.7(a)(1)(iii) and 100.8(a)(1)(iv).

1	8. Under the Act, no person, including a political committee, may contribute		
2	more than \$1,000 per election to any candidate for federal office or his authorized committee.		
3	2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1).		
4	9. A Federal Election Commission regulation codified at 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(h),		
5	and entitled "Contributions to committees supporting the same candidate," provides that:		
6 7 8 9	A person may contribute to a candidate or his or her authorized committee with respect to a particular election and also contribute to a political committee which has supported, or anticipates supporting the same candidate in the same election, as long as -		
11 12 13	(1) The political committee is not the candidate's principal campaign committee or other authorized political committee or a single candidate committee;		
14 15 16 17 18	(2) The contributor does not give with the knowledge that a substantial portion will be contributed to, or expended on behalf of, that candidate for the same election; and		
19	(3) The contributor does not retain control over the funds.		
20	10. The Act further provides that a candidate or political committee shall not		
21	knowingly accept a contribution in violation of section 441a(a)(1). 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f).		
22	11. With certain narrow exceptions, the Act prohibits corporations from making		
23	contributions or expenditures in connection with any election, and also provides that no		
24	candidate or political committee may knowingly accept or receive a contribution from a		
25	corporation. 2 U.S.C. § 441b.		
26	12. An "independent expenditure" is defined in the Act as "an expenditure by a		
27	person expressly advocating the election or defeat of a clearly identified candidate which is made		
28	without cooperation or consultation with any candidate, or any authorized committee or agent of		
29	such candidate, and which is not made in concert with, or at the request or suggestion of, any		

1	candidate or agent of such candidate." 2 U.S.C. § 431(17). Conversely, expenditures made by	
2	any person "in cooperation, consultation or concert, with, or at the request or suggestion of, a	
3	candidate, his authorized political committees, or their agents, shall be considered to be a	
4	contribution to such candidate." 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(7)(B)(i).	
5	13. Under the Act, any person, including a political committee, which acts as an	
6	intermediary or conduit for contributions which are earmarked or otherwise directed through a	
7	conduit or intermediary must report the original source and the intended recipient of such	
8	contribution to the Commission and to the intended recipient. 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(8); 11 C.F.R.	
9	§ 110.6(c)(1). The recipient candidate or authorized committee shall report each conduit or	
10	intermediary who forwards earmarked contributions. 11 C.F.R. § 110.6(c)(2).	
11	14. The Act requires each treasurer of a political committee to file reports of	
12	receipts and disbursements with the Commission. 2 U.S.C. § 434(a). Each report must disclose	
13	the identification of each political committee which has made a contribution to the reporting	
14	committee, together with the date and amount of any such contribution. 2 U.S.C. § 434(b)(3)(B).	
15	All contributions, including contributions in-kind, must be reported by the candidate's authorized	
16	committee according to the terms of 2 U.S.C. § 434.	
17	15. As disclosed by Brian Babin for Congress, on September 9, 1996, Peter and	
18	Patricia Cloeren each made a \$1,000 contribution to Brian Babin for the 1996 general election,	
19	the contribution limit under 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1).	

16. In sworn statements provided by Peter Cloeren, he stated that in a series of telephone conversations with Brian Babin in late September or early October 1996, Brian Babin asked him asked to make a \$5,000 contribution to CUPVF. Brian Babin for Congress telephone records confirm that calls were made from the campaign to Cloeren, Inc. on numerous

1	dates in late September and early October 1996. Mr. Cloeren has stated that, as a result of these		
2	telephone conversations, he had knowledge that CUPVF would contribute \$5,000 to Brian Babin		
3	for Congress upon the receipt of his \$5,000 contribution check.		
4	17. On or about October 7, 1996, Peter Cloeren wrote a \$5,000 check to CUPVF		
5	In his sworn statements, Mr. Cloeren stated that he gave the check to Brian Babin so that it coul		
6	be transmitted to CUPVF. CUPVF reported receiving Mr. Cloeren's \$5,000 contribution on		
7	October 14, 1996, and reported making a \$5,000 contribution to the Babin Committee on		
8	October 24, 1996. The Babin Committee reported receiving a \$5,000 from CUPVF on		
9	October 26, 1996.		
10	18. Peter Cloeren stated in his sworn statements that, in telephone conversations		
11	with Brian Babin, Babin campaign staff and Triad president Carolyn Malenick, he was asked to		
12	make a \$20,000 contribution to CR. In addition to telephone calls from the campaign to		
13	Mr. Cloeren in late September and early October 1996, Brian Babin for Congress telephone		
14	records confirm that calls were made from the campaign to Triad on numerous dates in		
15	September, October and early November 1996.		
16	19. Mr. Cloeren has stated that, as a result of these telephone conversations, he		
17	had knowledge that CR would use any contributions from him and his wife to support the Babin		
18	campaign.		
19	20. On or about November 1, 1996, Peter and Patricia Cloeren each contributed		
20	\$10,000 to CR for a total of \$20,000.		
21	21. Jason Oliver, an employee of Triad consultant Carlos Rodriguez, testified		
22	that, sometime in 1996, following general instructions from Mr. Rodriguez and Triad president		
23	Carolyn Malenick, he contacted Republican candidates' campaigns, including the Babin		

campaign, in the congressional districts Triad was considering for "education ads" to find out

2 what issues the campaigns would like to see addressed. Mr. Oliver further testified that he could

3 not recall whom he spoke to at the Babin campaign.

22. Mr. Oliver testified that he prepared a chart of the issues suggested by the campaigns and provided this information to Ms. Malenick and Mr. Rodriguez. Mr. Oliver identified a document produced by one of the advertising vendors as being a subsequent version of the chart on which he recorded the campaigns' advertising preferences. The entry on his chart for the congressional race in which Brian Babin was facing Democrat Jim Turner states: "Turner: State jails[,] Homo rights[,] Taxes on sm. Bus[.,] Auto probation to serious offenders."

23. On September 4, 1996, Triad consultant Carlos Rodriguez visited Babin campaign headquarters in Woodville, Texas to conduct one of many "political audits" of Republican congressional campaigns. The Triad political audit report from the meeting with the Babin campaign states that "Jim Turner is a career politician who has been in elected office for the last 14 years and who has a number of votes that could be used effectively against him in the general election this year. [State] Senator Turner sponsored legislation that grants automatic probation to first time felony offenders for such crimes as grand theft, burglary and even drug dealing. In addition, he has voted for homosexual rights" Mr. Rodriguez has stated that his audit reports were dictated immediately after his visits with the campaigns, while the conversations were still fresh in his mind.

24. CR has stipulated that, acting under Triad's management, it spent \$87,000 to broadcast a 30-second television advertisement called "Left" in the congressional district where Dr. Babin was a candidate. It was run during the last week in October and the first week in November of 1996, identifies Rep. Turner by name, and criticizes his purported positions on the

1	issues of prisoners' rights and gay rights, which were among the same issues cited in the reports	
2	of Jason Oliver and Carlos Rodriguez after they had contacted the Babin campaign.	
3	25. The Babin campaign's input in connection with the communications with	
4	Jason Oliver and Carlos Rodriguez, as well as other possible communications evidenced by the	
5	telephone records, constituted requests or suggestions from the campaign to run such an	
6	advertisement and also substantial discussions regarding the content of the advertisement.	
7	26. Based on the interactions between Triad and the Babin campaign, CR's	
8	expenditure for the advertisement was made for the purpose of influencing a federal election.	
9	27. If CR is a political committee, all but the first \$1,000 of CR's \$87,000	
10	coordinated expenditure constituted an excessive in-kind contribution accepted by the Babin	
11	campaign.	
12	28. If CR is not a political committee, the entire \$87,000 coordinated expenditure	
13	constituted a prohibited in-kind corporate contribution accepted by the Babin campaign.	
14	29. Respondents dispute Peter Cloeren's version of events set forth above, and	
15	Brian Babin has testified that either the events did not occur as described or that he did not recall	
16	the events as Mr. Cloeren described. Dr. Babin testified that he did not recall ever soliciting any	
17	funds from Mr. Cloeren except for Dr. Babin's own campaign. Respondents contend that there is	
18	no evidence of how Mr. Cloeren's \$5,000 check to CUPVF was transmitted to, or received by,	
19	CUPVF.	
20	30. With respect to telephone records which show phone calls between Babin	
21	campaign headquarters and Cloeren, Inc. and between Babin campaign headquarters and Triad,	
22	Respondents contend that these records do not identify any of the specific person(s) who were on	

any of these phone calls, nor do they indicate the substance of the conversations. Respondents

1 contend that, during the relevant time period, phone calls were made for the purpose of arranging

2 a September 14, 1996 in-home fundraiser at Peter Cloeren's residence and an October 8, 1996

3 rally at Mr. Cloeren's company.

- 31. Brian Babin testified that he did not speak to Triad or CR about any potential issue advertisements, and Jason Oliver testified that he has no recollection of discussing issue education ads with Dr. Babin. Respondents contend that the Commission has no evidence of who at the Babin campaign allegedly spoke to Triad representatives concerning potential issue advertisements, and that the issues in the CR advertisements concerning Dr. Babin's opponent were in the public domain, and had been widely disseminated by the Babin campaign in its own political materials transmitted to voters. Respondents contend they have no knowledge of the substance of any conversations between Peter Cloeren and Carolyn Malenick and that, during Carlos Rodriguez' September 4, 1996 visit to Babin campaign headquarters, there was no discussion of Triad or CR running issue advertisements favorable to the Babin campaign.
- V. 1. Respondents Brian Babin and Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer, accepted \$5,000 in excessive contributions from Peter Cloeren that were made through Citizens United Political Victory Fund, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f).
- 2. Respondents Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer, failed to report the true sources of the Cloeren contribution received through Citizens United Political Victory Fund, in violation of 2 U.S.C. §§ 434 and 441a(a)(8).
- 3. If Citizens for Reform is a political committee, Respondents Brian Babin and Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer, accepted a total of \$86,000 in excessive in-kind contributions from Citizens for Reform in 1996 by coordinating certain advertising expenditures made by Citizens for Reform, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f).

ŀ

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4. If Citizens for Reform is not a political committee, Respondents Brian Babin 2 and Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer, accepted a total of \$87,000 in prohibited in-kind corporate contributions from Citizens for Reform in 1996 by coordinating certain advertising expenditures made by Citizens for Reform, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b. 4

- 5. Respondents Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer, failed to report the Citizens for Reform expenditures as in-kind contributions, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434.
- VI. 1. Respondents will pay a civil penalty to the Federal Election Commission in the amount of Twenty Thousand dollars (\$20,000), pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(5)(A). The civil penalty will be due as follows. Respondents will make an initial payment of Ten Thousand dollars (\$10,000) within 30 days of being notified that the Commission has accepted this agreement. Respondents will make a second payment of Five Thousand dollars (\$5,000) by no later than February 1, 2002. Respondents will make a third payment of Five Thousand dollars (\$5,000) by no later than April 1, 2002. In the event that any payment is not received by the Commission on or before the date it becomes due, the Commission may, at its discretion, accelerate the remaining payments and cause the entire unpaid balance of the civil penalty to become due upon ten (10) days written notice to Respondents. Failure by the Commission to accelerate the payments with regard to any overdue payments shall not be construed as a waiver of its right to do so with regard to any other payments.
- 2. Respondents Brian Babin for Congress and Thomas E. Freeman, as treasurer, will, upon receiving notice that Peter Cloeren has waived his right to any refund, disgorge to the United States Treasury Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) in excessive contributions. Assuming that the notice from Mr. Cloeren is received within 30 days of Respondents being notified that

9

10

11

12

13

14

- the Commission has accepted this agreement, the disgorgement will be due on the same date as
 the initial payment of the civil penalty.

 VII. The Commission, on request of anyone filing a complaint under 2 U.S.C.
- § 437g(a)(1) concerning the matters at issue herein or on its own motion, may review compliance with this agreement. If the Commission believes that this agreement or any requirement thereof has been violated, it may institute a civil action for relief in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.
 - VIII. This agreement shall become effective as of the date that all parties hereto have executed same and the Commission has approved the entire agreement.
 - IX. Respondents shall have no more than 30 days from the date this agreement becomes effective to make the initial civil penalty payments and disgorgements required to comply with and implement this agreement and to so notify the Commission. Subsequent civil penalty installment payments required by this agreement, as well as notification that such payments have been made, shall be due on the dates specified above.

1	X. This Conciliation Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the partie		
2	on the matters raised herein, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or		
3	oral, made by either party or by agents of either party, that is not contained in this written		
4	agreement shall be enforceable.		
5			
6	FOR THE COMMISSION:		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel BY: Change Solution Rhonda J. Vosdingh Acting Associate General Counsel	<u>///3/8/</u> Date	
17 18 19 20 21	FOR THE RESPONDENTS: Benjamin L. Ginsberg, Esq. William J. McGinley, Esq. Counsel to Respondents	October 26, 2001 Date	